(Spl.-H.C.A.S.,C.D.,78-e)

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO. 196 OF 2004.

Office Note, Office Memoranda of Quorum, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's Orders

Mr. Jamshed Mistry, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. A.N.S. Nadkarni, Advocate General with Mr. H.D. Naik, Addl. Govt. Advocate for the Respondents No.1 to 3.

CORAM : S.A. BOBDE &
N.A. BRITTO, JJ.

DATE : 14TH JUNE, 2004.

P.C.:

By this petition, the petitioners have sought, inter alia, review of the Guidelines and for creating a proper infrastructure to ensure safety of the tourists enjoying water sports activities.

The petitioners also seek a specification of the competent authority referred to in paragraph 13 of the Guidelines notified on 23rd June, 2003. The learned Advocate General has stated that a

Committee has been formed for the purpose of identifying the areas for swimming, boat rides and water sports activities at sea-coast and inland waterways. He further states that the petitioners will be allowed to appear before the Committee, if they so desire, for making their suggestions which the Committee will duly take into account.

As regards the specification of the competent authority, the learned Advocate General has stated that the competent authority referred to in the Guidelines are those authorities specified under various clauses, such as Inland Waterways Act, Marine Shipping Act, etc. He further states that the State will issue a corrigendum to the Guidelines clarifying the term "competent authority". We direct that the corrigendum will be issued within a period of one month from today.

No other prayer is pressed by the petitioners.

The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

S.A. BOBDE, J.

N.A. BRITTO, J.

sl.